1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. CR09-219-RAJ 10 PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO NIKOS DELANO DORSEY. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF 11 SUPERVISED RELEASE Defendant. 12 13 **INTRODUCTION** 14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on January 6, 15 2011. The defendant appeared pursuant to a warrant issued in this case. The United States was 16 represented by Kate Vaughn, and defendant was represented by Jay Stansell. Also present was 17 U.S. Probation Officer Jennifer Van Flandern. The proceedings were digitally recorded. 18 SENTENCE AND PRIOR ACTION 19 Defendant was sentenced on March 24, 2005 by the Honorable James K. Singleton, U.S. 20 District Judge in the District of Alaska, for Possession of Cocaine Base with Intent to Distribute, 21 Possession of a Firearm During and in Relation to and in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking 22 Crime, and Possession of a Firearm in a School Zone. He received 98 months of detention and 6 23 years of supervised release. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1

1	On August 20, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ordered the	
2	judgment in this case be Affirmed and Remanded for sentencing. On November 29, 2005, Mr.	
3	Dorsey was re-sentenced by the Honorable James K. Singleton, U.S. District Judge in the	
4	District of Alaska, to 79 months custody to be followed by a 6 year term of supervised release.	
5	On July 2, 2009, the Western District of Washington accepted jurisdiction from the District of	
6	Alaska.	
7	On November 2, 2010, a no action report was submitted to the Court advising that Mr.	
8	Dorsey had violated his conditions of supervision by using cocaine. On November 5, 2010, the	
9	Court concurred with the probation officer's recommendation for no action.	
10	PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS	
11	In a petition dated December 10, 2010, U.S. Probation Officer Jennifer Van Fandern alleged	
12	that defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:	
13	1.	Using cocaine on or before October 12, 2010, in violation of standard condition no.
14		7.
15	2.	Committing the crime of Driving Under the Influence (DUI) on or about October 31,
16		2010, in King County, Washington, in violation of the general condition that he not
17		commit a federal, state, or local crime.
18	3.	.Failing to notify the probation officer within 72 hours of his October 31, 2010,
19		arrest for DUI, in violation of the standard condition requiring he do so.
20	4.	Failing to participate in a substance abuse evaluation with Sound Mental Health on
21		November 15, 2010, in violation of the special condition that he participate in
22		substance abuse treatment.
23	5.	Failing to report for substance use testing on November 30, 2010, in violation of the

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 2

1 general condition that he submit to drug testing. 2 FINDINGS FOLLOWING EVIDENTIARY HEARING 3 Defendant admitted violations 1, 3, 4, and 5 and waived any hearing as to whether they occurred. The defendant stipulated to the facts in the probation office's memorandum and the police reports regarding his arrest for DUI on October 31, 2010. Based on the memorandum and 5 police reports, the Court found that defendant was arrested for DUI, that his alcohol test results 6 were above .08, and that defendant violated condition 2. Defendant was informed the matter 7 would be set for a disposition hearing on January 21, 2011 at 11:00 a.m. before District Judge 8 Richard A. Jones. 9 10 RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the 11 12 conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing. DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011. 13 14 15 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA 16 United States Magistrate Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22

23